Judge Pechman 1 2 3 (1 FROM FROM IN 1 5 ) FROM 1940 I II HIL MONKON 1966 I I H KINDON ~GOGED 4 JUL 3 0 2002 5 CR 02-256 #9 б UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 NO. CR02-256P Plaintiff, 10 PLEA AGREEMENT ٧, 11 CLIFFORD G. BAIRD, 12 FILED UNDER SEAL Defendant. 13

Come now the United States of America, by and through Mark Bartlett, Acting United States Attorney, and Jeffrey B. Coopersmith, Ye-Ting Woo, and Richard E. Cohen, Assistant United States Attorneys for the Western District of Washington, and the defendant, CLIFFORD G. BAIRD, and his attorney, Todd Maybrown, and enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(e).

- 1. <u>Waiver of Indictment</u>. Defendant, having been advised of the right to be charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the charge brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.
- 2. The Charges. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the following charge contained in the one-count Information. By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document: Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud, Wire Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Money Laundering, as charged in Count 1 of the Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

PLEA AGREEMENT

(Clifford G Baird, Case No CR02- ) - I

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 Union Strumt, Surm 5100 SRATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

- ENTERED RECEIVED

COURT ASHINGTON DEPUTY

1

8

11 12

13

10

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

peers;

26 27

28

3. The Penalties Defendant understands that the maximum statutory penalties for the offense charged in Count 1 of the Information are as follows: imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100) penalty assessment. The Court may also impose an alternative fine based on gain or loss equal to twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss. The defendant further understands and agrees that he will be required to pay the penalty assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100) at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

- 4. <u>Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty</u>. Defendant represents to the Court that he is satisfied that his attorney has rendered effective assistance. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights
  - a. The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
  - b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's
- c. The right to the assistance of counsel at trial, including, if Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for Defendant;
- d The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established at trial, beyond a reasonable doubt;

| 1  |                | e.            | The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against                |
|----|----------------|---------------|--|
| 2  | Defendant;     |               |  |
| 3  |                | f.            | The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Defendant's       |
| 4  | behalf;        |               |  |
| 5  | <u> </u>       | g.            | The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which such silence |
| 6  | could not be   | used a        | gainst Defendant; and  |
| 7  |                | h.            | The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.          |
| 8  | 5.             | <u>Appli</u>  | cability of Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and             |
| 9  | acknowledge    | s the f       | ollowing:  |
| 10 |                | a.            | The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the              |
| 11 | United States  | Sente         | ncing Commission, are applicable to this case;                           |
| 12 |                | b.            | The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing               |
| 13 | Guidelmes ra   | nge at        | the time of sentencing;  |
| 14 |                | c.            | The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a         |
| 15 | sentence that, | under         | some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing               |
| 16 | Guidelines ra  | nge up        | to the maximum term authorized by law;                                   |
| 17 |                | d             | The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the               |
| 18 | sentence to be | impo          | sed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines    |
| 19 | range offered  | by the        | parties, or by the United States Probation Department; and               |
| 20 |                | e.            | Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the           |
| 21 | sentence impo  | sed by        | y the Court.   |
| 22 | 6.             | <u>Ultima</u> | ate Sentence. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or         |
| 23 | guaranteed wi  | nat ser       | tence the Court will impose.   |
| 24 | 7.             | Eleme         | nts of the Offenses. The elements of the offense of Conspiracy to        |
| 25 | Commit Secu    | nties F       | Fraud, Wire Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Money Laundering, as charged in       |
| 26 | Count 1 of the | Infor         | mation, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, are   |
| 27 | as follows: (1 | ) there       | e was an agreement between Defendant and at least one other person       |
| 28 | to commit sec  | urities       | fraud, wire fraud, mail fraud, or money laundering, or at least one of   |

("HMC") was incorporated in Wa PLEA AGREEMENT

(Clifford G Baird, Case No CR02-\_\_\_)-4

these offenses; (2) Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish such object or objects; and (3) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

- 8. Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution in the amount of ten million, eight hundred fifty-six thousand, two hundred and ninety dollars (\$10,856,290), representing the amount of loss suffered by investors in Cascade Pointe on or after June 22, 2001, and additional restitution in an amount to be determined by the Court at sentencing representing the amount of loss suffered by any investors who invested in Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., or Znetix, Inc., on or after June 22, 2001 Defendant shall receive credit for any amounts already paid or collected. The total restitution amount shall be due and payable immediately upon sentencing, and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as set by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court. Defendant's restitution obligation shall be joint and several with any other individuals who are charged and convicted of having been involved in the same conspiracy and scheme to defraud.
- 9. <u>Loss Amount</u>. The Umted States and Defendant agree that the correct amount of the loss is between seven million dollars (\$7,000,000) and twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) for purposes of U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1).
- Statement of Facts. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty plea and for purposes of calculating the base offense level of the Sentencing Guidelines Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offenses:

From m or about 1995, and continuing thereafter until on or about January 23, 2002, at Bellevue, Seattle and Bainbridge Island, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, CLIFFORD G. BAIRD, together with other persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney, did unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate and agree among themselves and each other to commit certain offenses against the United States, as follows; defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD knowingly joined the conspiracy on or about June 22, 2001:

## INTRODUCTION

a. According to public records, Health Maintenance Centers, Inc. ("HMC") was incorporated in Washington State on May 12, 1995, and was

administratively dissolved and reinstated at various times throughout the period from on or about December 6, 1995, through on or about October 30, 2000. The Articles of Incorporation for HMC provided that the corporate purposes of HMC were "[t]o operate health and exercise clubs, and related facilities" and "[t]o engage in any business, trade or activity which may be conducted lawfully by a corporation organized under the Washington State Business Corporation Act." The Articles of Incorporation for HMC also provided that "[t]his corporation is authorized to issue 10,000 shares of common stock and each share shall have a par value of \$1.00." On February 5, 1997, HMC filed Articles of Amendment that provided, among other things, that "[t]his corporation is authorized to issue 15,000,000 shares of common stock and each share shall have a par value of \$1.00." On or about December 26, 2001, HMC cased to exist as a Washington State corporation and merged with a Delaware corporation known as HMC Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Znetix, Inc. Also on or about December 26, 2001, HMC Acquisition Corp. changed its name to Health Maintenance Centers, Inc.

b. According to public records, Project X, Inc. was incorporated in the State of Washington on November 3, 1999. On October 3, 2000, Project X filed Articles of Amendment with the Washington State Secretary of State changing its name to Znetix, Inc. On or about September 25, 2001, Znetix, Inc., ceased to exist as a Washington State corporation and merged with a Delaware corporation known as Znetix, Inc.

c. From in or about 1995 through in or about January, 2002, HMC, Project X, and Znetix, and affiliated entities, through various sales agents and at the direction and with the participation of persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney, solicited and received in excess of \$50 million from investors. At no time were the offers and sales of securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, and affiliated entities registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, or with the securities regulatory authority in any other state.

d. On or about April 9, 2001, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, issued a Summary Order to Cease and Desist against HMC and an individual known to the United States Attorney. The Cease and Desist Order, among other things, barred HMC (and its employees, officers and directors) from selling securities through fraudulent representations and material omissions, and in violation of the State of Washington's securities registration statute.

e. Cascade Pointe LLC was a limited hability company formed in Washington State on or about May 2, 2001. Cascade Pointe of Arizona LLC was a limited liability company formed in Arizona in or about July, 2001. Cascade Pointe of Nevis LLC was a limited liability company established in the Carribean nation of Nevis on or about July 26, 2001. From on or about June 22, 2001, through in or about January 2002, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD served as the Manager of Cascade Pointe, but at all times relevant to this Information, the defendant was receiving instructions concerning the management of Cascade Pointe from persons affiliated with HMC and Znetix known and unknown to the United States Attorney.

f. From on or about May 2, 2001 through in or about January 2002, persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney affiliated with Cascade Pointe, including defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD from on or about June 22, 2001, through in or about January 2002, solicited and received in excess of \$12 million from investors. At no time were the offers and sales of securities issued by Cascade Pointe and

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Cascade Pointe LLC, Cascade Pointe of Arizona LLC, and Cascade Pointe of Nevis LLC are collectively referenced in this Information as "Cascade Pointe."

affiliated entities registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, or with the securities regulatory authority in any other state. 2 OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY 3 The objects of the conspiracy were as follows: 4 To unlawfully, knowingly, and willfully, directly and indirectly, by 5 the use of means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and of the mails, use and employ, in connection with the purchases and sales of securities, manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances, by (i) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) making untrue statements of material facts and omitting to state material 6 facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances in which they were made, not misleading; and (111) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business 8 which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon other persons, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff(a), and Title 17, Code of Federal 9 Regulations, Section 240.10b-5; 10 To knowingly and willfully transmit and cause to be transmitted by wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds in furtherance of a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money 11 and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, in 12 violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; 13 i. To knowingly and willfully use and cause the United States mail and interstate couriers to be used in furtherance and execution of a scheme and artifice to 14 defraud investors in HMC, Project X, Znetix, Cascade Pointe, and affiliated entities, and a scheme and artifice for obtaining money and property of said investors by means of 15 false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341; 16 17 To conduct and attempt to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate commerce involving the proceeds of specified unlawful activity (mail fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud), knowing that the property involved in the financial transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal or disguise the nature, 18 19 the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i); 20 21 k. To transport, transmit, and transfer, and attempt to transport, transmit, and transfer, monetary instruments and funds from places in the United States to 22 and through places outside the United States, and to places in the United States from and through places outside the United States, knowing that the monetary instruments and 23 funds involved in the transportations, transmissions, and transfers represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, and knowing that such transportations, transmissions, and transfers were designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the 24 nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 25

1. To knowingly and willfully engage and attempt to engage monetary transactions by, through, or to financial institutions, which monetary transactions affected interstate and foreign commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, such property having been derived from specified unlawful activities, that is

1956(a)(2)(B)(1); and

26

| 1  | mail fraud, wire fraud, and securities fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY   |
| 3  | m It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that defendant   |
| 4  | CLIFFORD G. BAIRD and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney offered and sold, and caused to be offered and sold, and abetted the offer and                |
| 5  | sale of, securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe to thousands of investors located in Washington State and many other states by means of false and |
| 6  | fraudulent statements, representations, promises, and pretenses, including, but not limited to, the false and fraudulent statements that:                                  |
| 7  | <ol> <li>investors who purchased the securities of HMC for one dollar</li> </ol>   |
| 8  | per share would receive four shares of Znetix for each share of HMC at the point when Znetix purchased or merged with HMC;   |
| 9  | ii. Znetix would imminently engage in an Initial Public Offering   |
| 10 | ("IPO"), after which Znetix securities could be freely traded on exchanges such as NASDAQ;   |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | ii. Znetix shares would be valued at substantially more than one dollar per share after the IPO;   |
| 13 | iv. Znetix had retained prominent investment banking and underwriting firms who were working on the IPO;   |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | v. Znetix had filed or would very shortly file a registration statement with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission;   |
| 16 | vi. Znetix was in a "quiet period" just prior to the IPO;  |
| 17 | vii. HMC and Znetix had developed proprietary medical and fitness software and equipment which would generate substantial revenues;  |
| 18 | viii. Znetix had sold or would shortly sell licenses for   |
| 19 | approximately \$1 million apiece to operate health and fitness clubs throughout the United States;   |
| 20 | ' and the second and and all the second to   |
| 21 | 1x. leading sports and entertainment figures had agreed to franchise health and fitness clubs from Znetix;   |
| 22 | x. a health and fitness club owned by a company affiliated with HMC and located on Bambridge Island, Washington, was profitable;   |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | xi. Znetix would receive \$500 million from an investor group from China;  |
| 25 | xII. Cascade Pointe was a private investment firm founded and under management by individuals who were not affiliated with HMC and Znetix;                                 |
| 26 | xiii. Cascade Pointe was one of the nation's leading private   |
| 27 | investment firms, and believed in "diversification" and investments based on "rock-solid financials;"  |
| 28 |  |

| 1<br>2<br>3    | of cash or lines of credit, a "rescission offer" to be made by HMC to its investors, which rescission offer was a final step before the Znetix IPO and would afford HMC investors the opportunity to choose between a refund of their investments or keeping their investment with HMC in place;   |
|----------------|--|
| 4              | xv. the rescission offer, and therefore the Znetix IPO, was miminent;  |
| 6              | xvi. Cascade Pointe would operate health and fitness clubs in<br>Arizona and in international locations such as Japan, and would receive tens of millions<br>of dollars of revenue;  |
| 7<br>B         | xvii, funds received from investors in Znetix would be placed in an escrow account;  |
| 9<br>10        | xviii. investors who received "units" in Cascade Pointe would receive more than one share of HMC stock for each unit, and would later receive four shares of Znetix for each HMC share;  |
| 11             | xix. Znetix had or was about to obtain a contract with the U.S. Department of Defense worth billions of dollars;   |
| 12             | xx. Various shell corporations established in the Carribean nation of Nevis would provide tens of millions of dollars in the form of lines of credit to Cascade Pointe:  |
| 14<br>15       | xx1. Cascade Pointe investors would receive million of shares in HMC after the rescission offer to be made by HMC.   |
| 16<br>17<br>18 | n. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that in the offer and sale of the securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney knowingly and willfully failed to truthfully and accurately disclose in a registration statement, prospectus, private placement memorandum or in any other form material facts, including but not limited to: |
| 19             | i. the uses to which investors' money would be put;  |
| 20<br>21       | ii. the financial condition of the HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;   |
| 22             | iii. the lack of financial controls at HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;   |
| 24             | iv. the compensation to be received by defendants and others associated with HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;   |
| 25<br>26       | v. that HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe were under common management and control;   |
| 20<br>27       | vi. that HMC had sold more than its authorized amount of shares.   |
| 28             | o. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney   |

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 UNION STREET, SUITE 5100

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 91101-3903 (206) 553-7970

PLEA AGREEMENT

(Clifford G Baird, Case No CR02-\_\_\_\_) - 9

| 1      | would provide Cascade Pointe with a \$5 million line of credit for an up front fee of \$175,000.  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 2      | y. On or about July 11, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD   |  |  |  |
| 3      | received a letter from Julie S. Mills falsely stating that Rutherford, York & Baxter, Ltd. of Nevis would provide Cascade Pointe with a \$10 million line of credit for an up front fee   |  |  |  |
| 4      | of \$200,000.   |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6 | z. On or about July 12, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD received a letter from Jule S. Mills falsely stating that Winfield & Brundidge Investments, Inc. of Nevis would provide Cascade Pointe with a \$10 million line of credit for an up front fee of \$150,000. |  |  |  |
| 7      | aa. On or about July 12, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD sent a   |  |  |  |
| 8      | letter to investors stating, among other things, that:  |  |  |  |
| 9      | 1. the contract between HMC and Cascade Pointe "discloses in excess of \$60 million in promissory notes due to HMC, Inc. by other companies;"   |  |  |  |
| 10     | ii. Cascade Pointe "has been awarded the state of Arizona by  |  |  |  |
| 11     | Znetix. The anticipated annual revenues will be between \$50 million and \$100 million within the first five years of operation;"   |  |  |  |
| 12     | iii. Cascade Pointe "will also be participating in some portion of  |  |  |  |
| 13     | the international markets of the Znetix rollout," and   |  |  |  |
| 14     | iv. Cascade Pointe "has secured a \$50 million line of credit from several private money groups."   |  |  |  |
| 15     | bb. On or about July 16, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD sent a   |  |  |  |
| 16     | letter to investors stating, among other things, that:  |  |  |  |
| 17     | i. "On Friday night last, July 13, I signed the final agreement to acquire HMC, Inc. rescission stock, some assets and some liabilities. This agreement   |  |  |  |
| 18     | now gives HMC the ability to start its rescission process by providing the funding necessary for HMC to purchase back shares as requested;" and   |  |  |  |
| 19     | ii. "With the retaining of a couple of new Fund Managers as   |  |  |  |
| 20     | consultants, we should be able to finish our \$50 million round by the end of the week."  |  |  |  |
| 21     | cc. On or about July 16, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD received a letter from Kim Singh falsely stating that Hawkins & Holland, Inc. of Nevis   |  |  |  |
| 22     | would provide Cascade Pointe with a \$10 million line of credit for an up front fee of \$250,000.   |  |  |  |
| 23     | dd. On or about July 16, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD  |  |  |  |
| 24     | received a letter from Julie S. Mills falsely stating that Nurrell Marcos Capital, Ltd. of<br>Nevis would provide Cascade Pointe with a \$10 million line of credit for an up front fee   |  |  |  |
| 25     | of \$150,000.   |  |  |  |
| 26     | ee. On or about July 21, 2001, defendant CLIFFORD G. BAIRD sent an e-mail message to investors stating, among other things, that:   |  |  |  |
| 27     |   |  |  |  |
| 28     | i "We are now negotiating to participate in a new foreign opportunity. We are entering discussions for the very real possibility of Cascade Pointe  |  |  |  |

PLEA AGREEMENT (Chifford G Baird, Case No CR02-\_\_\_) - 11 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 UNION STREET, SUITE 5100 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

5 6 7

> 8 9

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

qq. On January 16, 2002, funds in the amount of \$50,000.00 were withdrawn from a bank account controlled by Cascade Pointe for the purchase of a cashier's check payable to HMC.

rr. On January 18, 2002, funds in the amount of \$85,000.00 were withdrawn from a bank account controlled by Cascade Pointe for the purchase of a cashier's check payable to HMC.

## Cooperation. 11.

- Defendant shall cooperate completely and truthfully with law enforcement authorities in the investigation and prosecution of other individuals involved in criminal activity. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, complete and truthful statements to law enforcement officers, as well as complete and truthful testimony, if called as a witness before a grand jury, or at any state or federal trial, retrial, or other judicial proceedings. Defendant acknowledges that this obligation to cooperate shall continue after Defendant has entered guilty pleas and sentence has been imposed, no matter what sentence Defendant receives; Defendant's failure to do so may constitute a breach of this Plea Agreement. Defendant shall also cooperate fully and completely with the Receiver appointed by the Court in United States v. Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., et. al., C02-153P (W.D.Wa.)
- Defendant understands that the United States will tolerate no deception from him. If, in the estimation of the United States Attorney, information or testimony provided from the date of the Plea Agreement, proves to be untruthful or incomplete in any way, regardless of whether the untruthfulness helps or hurts the United States' case, the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington may consider that Defendant has breached this Plea Agreement.
- The United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington, in turn, agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any other offenses, other than crimes of violence, that Defendant may have committed in the Western District of Washington prior to the date of this Agreement about which (1) the United States presently possesses information; or (2) Defendant provides information pursuant to this Agreement to cooperate with the authorities.

PLEA AGREEMENT (Clifford G Baird, Case No CR02- ) - 12

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 Union Street, Suite 5100 Shattle, Washington 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

- d. The parties agree that information provided by Defendant in connection with this Plea Agreement shall not be used to determine Defendant's sentence, except to the extent permitted by U.S.S.G. § 1B1.8.
- e. In exchange for Defendant's cooperation, as described above, and conditioned upon Defendant's fulfillment of all conditions of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney agrees to bring the nature and extent of the Defendant's cooperation to the attention of the Court at sentencing. The Defendant understands and acknowledges that the United States makes no commitment to filing a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 permitting the Court to sentence Defendant to less than the otherwise applicable Sentencing Guideline range, that the United States may or may not consider filing a motion pursuant to section 5K1.1, and that he will have no right to withdraw his plea of guilty if the United States does not file such a motion.
- f. Defendant agrees that his sentencing date may be delayed based on the United States' need for his continued cooperation, and agrees not to object to any continuances of his sentencing date sought by the United States.
- 12. Acceptance of Responsibility. The United States acknowledges that if Defendant qualifies for the two-point acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a), and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, Defendant's total offense level should be decreased by an additional one (1) level pursuant to U.S.G. § 3E1.1(b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- 13. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. If the defendant complies fully with this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes that the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges

that the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant. The agreement stated in this paragraph does not apply to crimes of violence.

- 14. <u>Voluntariness of Plea</u>. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter these pleas of guilty.
- 15. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to the later of: (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in Defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.
- 17. <u>Completeness of Agreement</u>. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties.

| 1        | This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of |
|----------|---|
| 2        | Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other       |
| 3        | office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.                  |
| 4        | DATED. This 30th day of July, 2002.   |
| 5        | 4   |
| 6        | ( ALAIR -   |
| 7        | CLIFFORD G. BAIRD   |
| 8        | Defendant   |
| 9        |   |
| 10       | TODD MAYBROWN   |
| 11       | Attorney for Defendant  |
| 12       |   |
| 13       | JEWREY B. COOPERSMITH   |
| 14       | Assistant United States Attorney  |
| 15       |   |
| 16       |   |
| 17<br>18 |   |
| 19       |   |
| 20       |   |
| 21       |   |
| 22       |   |
| 23       |   |
| 24       |   |
| 25       |   |
| 26       |   |